

A SIMPLE PROOF OF THE ZEILBERGER–BRESSOUD q -DYSON THEOREM

GYULA KÁROLYI AND ZOLTÁN LÓRÁNT NAGY

ABSTRACT. As an application of the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, we give a short polynomial proof of the q -analogue of Dyson’s conjecture formulated by Andrews and first proved by Zeilberger and Bressoud.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let x_1, \dots, x_n denote independent variables, each associated with a nonnegative integer a_i . Motivated by a problem in statistical physics Dyson [6] in 1962 formulated the hypothesis that the constant term of the Laurent polynomial

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j}\right)^{a_i}$$

is equal to the multinomial coefficient $(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n)! / (a_1! a_2! \dots a_n!)$. Independently Gunson [unpublished] and Wilson [25] confirmed the statement in the same year, then Good gave an elegant proof [9] using Lagrange interpolation.

Let q denote yet another independent variable. In 1975 Andrews [2] suggested the following q -analogue of Dyson’s conjecture: The constant term of the Laurent polynomial

$$f_q(\mathbf{x}) := f_q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\frac{x_i}{x_j}\right)_{a_i} \left(\frac{qx_j}{x_i}\right)_{a_j} \in \mathbb{Q}(q)[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}]$$

must be

$$\frac{(q)_{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}}{(q)_{a_1} (q)_{a_2} \dots (q)_{a_n}},$$

where $(t)_k = (1-t)(1-tq) \dots (1-tq^{k-1})$ with $(t)_0$ defined to be 1. Specializing at $q = 1$, Andrews’ conjecture gives back that of Dyson.

Despite several attempts [11, 22, 23] the problem remained unsolved until 1985, when Zeilberger and Bressoud [27] found a combinatorial proof. Shorter proofs for the equal parameter case $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n$ are due to Habsieger [10], Kadell [12] and Stembridge [24]; they cover the special case A_{n-1} of a problem of Macdonald [20] concerning root systems, which was solved in full generality by Cherednik [5]. A shorter proof of the Zeilberger–Bressoud theorem, manipulating formal Laurent series, was given by Gessel and Xin [8].

Following up a recent idea of Karasev and Petrov we present a very short combinatorial proof using polynomial techniques. We find that their proof of the Dyson conjecture in [15] naturally extends for Andrews’ q -Dyson conjecture. We note that built on the same basic principles but with more sophisticated details it is possible to prove a whole family of constant term identities for Laurent polynomials, including the Bressoud–Goulden theorems [4], conjectures of Kadell [13, 14], the q -Morris constant term identity [10, 12, 21, 26] and its far reaching generalizations conjectured by Forrester [3, 7]; see [16, 17, 18]. We decided to publish this proof separately because of its sheer simplicity.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A19, 05A30, 33D05, 33D60.

Key words and phrases. constant term identities, Laurent polynomials, Dyson’s conjecture, Combinatorial Nullstellensatz.

This research was supported by the Australian Research Council, by ERC Advanced Research Grant No. 267165, and by Hungarian National Scientific Research Funds (OTKA) Grants 67676 and 81310.

2. THE PROOF

Note that if $a_i = 0$, then we may omit all factors that include the variable x_i without affecting the constant term of f_q . Accordingly, we may assume that each a_i is a positive integer. Consider the homogeneous polynomial

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\prod_{t=0}^{a_i-1} (x_j - x_i q^t) \cdot \prod_{t=1}^{a_j} (x_i - x_j q^t) \right) \in \mathbb{Q}(q)[\mathbf{x}].$$

Clearly, the constant term of $f_q(\mathbf{x})$ is equal to the coefficient of $\prod_i x_i^{\sigma - a_i}$ in $F(\mathbf{x})$, where $\sigma = \sum_i a_i$. To express this coefficient we apply the following effective version of the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz [1] observed independently by Lasoń [19] and by Karasev and Petrov [15]. A sketch of the proof is included for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathbb{F} be an arbitrary field and $F \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ a polynomial of degree $\deg(F) \leq d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n$. For arbitrary subsets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n of \mathbb{F} with $|A_i| = d_i + 1$, the coefficient of $\prod x_i^{d_i}$ in F is*

$$\sum_{c_1 \in A_1} \sum_{c_2 \in A_2} \dots \sum_{c_n \in A_n} \frac{F(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)}{\phi'_1(c_1) \phi'_2(c_2) \dots \phi'_n(c_n)},$$

where $\phi_i(z) = \prod_{a \in A_i} (z - a)$.

Proof. Construct a sequence of polynomials $F_0 := F, F_1, \dots, F_n \in \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$ recursively as follows. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $F_i = F_i(\mathbf{x})$ denote the remainder obtained after dividing $F_{i-1}(\mathbf{x})$ by $\phi_i(x_i)$ over the ring $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n]$. This process does not affect the coefficient of $\prod x_i^{d_i}$. The polynomial F_n satisfies $F_n(\mathbf{c}) = F(\mathbf{c})$ for all $\mathbf{c} \in A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$ and its degree in x_i is at most d_i for every i . The unique polynomial with that property is expressed in the form

$$F_n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in A_1 \times \dots \times A_n} F(\mathbf{c}) \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{\substack{\gamma \in A_i \\ \gamma \neq c_i}} \frac{x_i - \gamma}{c_i - \gamma}$$

by the Lagrange interpolation formula, hence the result. \square

The idea is to apply this lemma taking $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}(q)$ with a suitable choice of the sets A_i such that $F(\mathbf{c}) = 0$ for all but one element $\mathbf{c} \in A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$. Put $A_i = \{1, q, \dots, q^{\sigma - a_i}\}$, then $|A_i| = \sigma - a_i + 1$; and introduce $\sigma_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_j$. Thus, $\sigma_1 = 0$ and $\sigma_{n+1} = \sigma$.

Claim 2.2. *For $\mathbf{c} \in A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$ we have $F(\mathbf{c}) = 0$, unless $c_i = q^{\sigma_i}$ for all i .*

Proof. Suppose that $F(\mathbf{c}) \neq 0$ for the numbers $c_i = q^{\alpha_i} \in A_i$. Here α_i is an integer satisfying $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq \sigma - a_i$. Then for each pair $j > i$, either $\alpha_j - \alpha_i \geq a_i$, or $\alpha_i - \alpha_j \geq a_j + 1$. In other words, $\alpha_j - \alpha_i \geq a_i$ holds for every pair $j \neq i$, with strict inequality if $j < i$. In particular, all of the α_i are distinct. Consider the unique permutation π satisfying $\alpha_{\pi(1)} < \alpha_{\pi(2)} < \dots < \alpha_{\pi(n)}$. Adding up the inequalities $\alpha_{\pi(i+1)} - \alpha_{\pi(i)} \geq a_{\pi(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ we obtain

$$\alpha_{\pi(n)} - \alpha_{\pi(1)} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{\pi(i)} = \sigma - a_{\pi(n)}.$$

Given that $\alpha_{\pi(1)} \geq 0$ and $\alpha_{\pi(n)} \leq \sigma - a_{\pi(n)}$, strict inequality is excluded in all of these inequalities. It follows that π must be the identity permutation and $\alpha_i = \alpha_{\pi(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{\pi(j)} = \sigma_i$ must hold for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. This proves the claim. \square

This way finding the constant term of f_q is reduced to the evaluation of

$$\frac{F(q^{\sigma_1}, q^{\sigma_2}, \dots, q^{\sigma_n})}{\phi'_1(q^{\sigma_1}) \phi'_2(q^{\sigma_2}) \dots \phi'_n(q^{\sigma_n})},$$

where $\phi_i(z) = (z-1)(z-q) \dots (z-q^{\sigma - a_i})$. Here

$$\begin{aligned}\phi'_i(q^{\sigma_i}) &= \prod_{t=0}^{\sigma_i-1} (q^{\sigma_i} - q^t) \cdot \prod_{t=\sigma_i+1}^{\sigma-a_i} (q^{\sigma_i} - q^t) \\ &= \prod_{t=0}^{\sigma_i-1} q^t (q^{\sigma_i-t} - 1) \cdot \prod_{t=1}^{\sigma-\sigma_i+1} q^{\sigma_i} (1 - q^t) \\ &= (-1)^{\sigma_i} q^{\tau_i} (q)_{\sigma_i} (q)_{\sigma-\sigma_i+1}\end{aligned}$$

with $\tau_i = \binom{\sigma_i}{2} + \sigma_i(\sigma - \sigma_i + 1)$, whereas

$$\begin{aligned}F(q^{\sigma_1}, q^{\sigma_2}, \dots, q^{\sigma_n}) &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\prod_{t=0}^{a_i-1} q^{\sigma_i+t} (q^{\sigma_j-\sigma_i-t} - 1) \cdot \prod_{t=1}^{a_j} q^{\sigma_i} (1 - q^{\sigma_j-\sigma_i+t}) \right) \\ &= (-1)^u q^v \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\frac{(q)_{\sigma_j-\sigma_i}}{(q)_{\sigma_j-\sigma_i+1}} \cdot \frac{(q)_{\sigma_{j+1}-\sigma_i}}{(q)_{\sigma_j-\sigma_i}} \right) \\ &= (-1)^u q^v \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(q)_{\sigma_i} (q)_{\sigma-\sigma_i}}{(q)_{\sigma_{i+1}-\sigma_i}}\end{aligned}$$

with $u = \sum_i (n-i)a_i$ and $v = \sum_i ((n-i)a_i\sigma_i + (n-i)\binom{a_i}{2} + \sigma_i(\sigma - \sigma_{i+1}))$.

In view of the simple identity $\sum_i (n-i)a_i = \sum_i \sigma_i$, we have $u = \sum_i \sigma_i$, thus the powers of -1 cancel out. The same happens with the powers of q due to the following observation, which implies $v = \sum_i \tau_i$.

Claim 2.3. $\sum_i (n-i)(a_i\sigma_i + \binom{a_i}{2}) = \sum_i \binom{\sigma_i}{2}$.

Proof. We proceed by a routine induction on n . When $n = 0$, both expressions are 0, and one readily checks the relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(a_i\sigma_i + \binom{a_i}{2} \right) = \binom{\sigma_{n+1}}{2},$$

which completes the induction. □

Putting everything together we obtain that the constant term of f_q is indeed

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{F(q^{\sigma_1}, q^{\sigma_2}, \dots, q^{\sigma_n})}{\phi'_1(q^{\sigma_1})\phi'_2(q^{\sigma_2})\dots\phi'_n(q^{\sigma_n})} &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(q)_{\sigma_i} (q)_{\sigma-\sigma_i}}{(q)_{\sigma_i} (q)_{\sigma-\sigma_{i+1}} (q)_{\sigma_{i+1}-\sigma_i}} \\ &= \frac{(q)_{\sigma}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (q)_{\sigma_{i+1}-\sigma_i}} \\ &= \frac{(q)_{a_1+a_2+\dots+a_n}}{(q)_{a_1} (q)_{a_2} \dots (q)_{a_n}}.\end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

1. N. Alon, Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **8** (1999) 7–29.
2. G. E. Andrews, Problems and prospects for basic hypergeometric functions, in: *Theory and Application of Special Functions*, R. A. Askey, ed., Academic Press, New York (1975), pp. 191–224.
3. T. H. Baker and P. J. Forrester, Generalizations of the q -Morris constant term identity, *J. Combin. Th. A* **81** (1998) 69–87.
4. D. M. Bressoud and I. P. Goulden, Constant term identities extending the q -Dyson theorem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **291** (1985) 203–228.
5. I. Cherednik, Double affine Hecke algebras and Macdonald’s conjectures, *Annals of Math.* **141** (1995) 191–216.
6. F. J. Dyson, Statistical theory of energy levels of complex systems, *J. Math. Phys.* **3** (1962) 140–156.
7. P. J. Forrester, Normalization of the wavefunction for the Calogero–Sutherland model with internal degrees of freedom, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **9** (1995) 1243–1261.
8. I. M. Gessel and G. Xin, A short proof of the Zeilberger–Bressoud q -Dyson theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **134** (2006) 2179–2187.
9. I. J. Good, Short proof of a conjecture by Dyson, *J. Math. Phys.* **11** (1970) 1884.

10. L. Habsieger, Une q -intégrale de Selberg–Askey, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **19** (1988) 1475–1489.
11. K. W. J. Kadell, A proof of Andrews’s q -Dyson conjecture for $n = 4$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **290** (1985) 127–144.
12. K. W. J. Kadell, A proof of Askey’s conjectured q -analogue of Selberg’s integral and a conjecture of Morris, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **19** (1988) 969–986.
13. K. W. J. Kadell, Aomoto’s machine and the Dyson constant term identity, *Methods Appl. Anal.* **5** (1998) 335–350.
14. K. W. J. Kadell, A Dyson constant term orthogonality relation, *J. Combin. Th. A* **89** (2000) 291–297.
15. R. N. Karasev and F. V. Petrov, Partitions of nonzero elements of a finite field into pairs, *Israel J. Math.*, to appear.
16. Gy. Károlyi, Note on a problem of Kadell, manuscript.
17. Gy. Károlyi, A. Lascoux, and S. O. Warnaar, Constant term identities and Poincaré polynomials, submitted.
18. Gy. Károlyi and Z. L. Nagy, Proof of a q -Aomoto integral and a conjecture of Forrester, manuscript.
19. M. Lasoń, A generalization of Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17** (2010) #N32, 6 pages.
20. I. G. Macdonald, Some conjectures for root systems, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **13** (1982) 988–1007.
21. W. G. Morris, Constant Term Identities for Finite and Affine Root Systems, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wisconsin, Madison, 1982.
22. R. P. Stanley, The q -Dyson conjecture, generalized exponents, and the internal product of Schur functions, *Combinatorics and Algebra* (Boulder, 1983), *Contemp. Math.* **34**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1984, pp. 81–94.
23. R. P. Stanley, The stable behavior of some characters of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$, *Lin. Multilin. Alg.* **16** (1984) 3–27.
24. J. R. Stembridge, A short proof of Macdonald’s conjecture for the root systems of type A , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **102** (1988) 777–786.
25. K. G. Wilson, Proof of a conjecture by Dyson, *J. Math. Phys.* **3** (1962) 1040–1043.
26. D. Zeilberger, A Stembridge–Stanton style elementary proof of the Habsieger–Kadell q -Morris identity, *Discrete Math.* **79** (1989) 313–322.
27. D. Zeilberger and D. Bressoud, A proof of Andrews’s q -Dyson conjecture, *Discrete Math.* **54** (1985) 201–224.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND, BRISBANE, QLD 4072, AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: karolyi@cs.elte.hu

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, REÁLTANODA UTCA 13–15, BUDAPEST, 1053 HUNGARY
E-mail address: nagyzoztanlorant@gmail.com