

EGERVÁRY RESEARCH GROUP
ON COMBINATORIAL OPTIMIZATION



TECHNICAL REPORTS

TR-2005-04. Published by the Egrerváry Research Group, Pázmány P. sétány 1/C,
H-1117, Budapest, Hungary. Web site: www.cs.elte.hu/egres. ISSN 1587-4451.

**Source location with rigidity and tree
packing requirements**

Zsolt Fekete

April 2005

Source location with rigidity and tree packing requirements

Zsolt Fekete*

Abstract

We consider the following two problems. (i) Given a graph, add a minimum size clique to the graph such that the resulting graph is rigid in the plane. (ii) Given a graph, contract a minimum size vertex-set such that the resulting graph has two edge-disjoint spanning trees. We prove that these problems are polynomially solvable.

1 Introduction

We consider undirected graphs which may contain multiple edges but not loops. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph, $F \subseteq E$ and $X \subseteq V$. Let $F(X)$ denote the set of edges in F with both end-vertices in X and $i_F(X) := |F(X)|$. $e(X)$ is the number of edges with at least one endpoint in X , that is, $e(X) = |E| - i_E(V - X)$. Let $\mathcal{C}(G)$ denote the set of the components of G . If $(A, B; E)$ is a bipartite graph and $X \subseteq A$, then $\Gamma(X)$ denotes the set of the neighbors of X .

We will define two matroids $\mathcal{M}_{2,2}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2,3}$, so let $l = 2$ or $l = 3$ in the rest of the paper. Suppose we are given a graph $G = (V, E)$. We define the following set system:

$$\mathcal{I}_{2,l} := \{F \subseteq E : i_F(X) \leq 2|X| - l \text{ for every } X \subseteq V, |X| \geq 2\}.$$

The following claim is well-known. We remark that the condition “ $i_F(X) \leq k|X| - l$ ” defines a matroid for many other values of k and l (see the appendix of [14]).

Claim 1.1. $\mathcal{I}_{2,l}$ forms the independent sets of a matroid on the underlying set E . In this matroid the rank of an $F \subseteq E$ is the following:

$$\min_{\mathcal{X}} \sum_{X \in \mathcal{X}} (2|X| - l) + |F - F(\mathcal{X})|$$

Where the minimum is taken over set systems $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_t\}$ where $X_i \subseteq V$, $|X_i| \geq 2$ and $F(\mathcal{X}) := \cup_{X \in \mathcal{X}} F(X)$.

*Department of Operations Research, Eötvös University, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, Budapest, Hungary, H-1117. e-mail: fezso@cs.elte.hu. Communication Networks Laboratory, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/A, Budapest, Hungary, H-1117. The author is a member of the Egerváry Research Group on Combinatorial Optimization (EGRES). Research is supported by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research, OTKA grants T037547 and TS049788, by the Egerváry Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and by European MCRTN Adonet, Contract Grant No. 504438.

This matroid will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{2,l}$ and let r be its rank function. We remark that if $l = 2$, then \mathcal{X} can be chosen to be a sub-partition of V (this is a consequence of matroid union theorem, [13, Theorem 51.1 and Corollary 51.1c]), and if $l = 3$, then \mathcal{X} can be chosen such that $F = F(\mathcal{X})$ [9].

If $Z \subseteq V$, then K_Z will denote (the edge-set of) a graph on Z which has $4 - l$ parallel edges between every two vertices of Z . We investigate the following problem.

We are given a graph G and the task is to find a minimum cardinality Z such that the rank of $E + K_Z$ is $2|V| - l$.

If $l = 2$, then $r(E) = 2|V| - 2$ if and only if there exist 2 edge-disjoint spanning trees in G . (By Nash-Williams' theorem [11], see also [13, Corollary 51.1c].) So in this case the problem is the following.

We are given a graph G . Find a minimum cardinality Z so that $G + K_Z$ has 2 edge-disjoint spanning trees, or equivalently contract a minimum size Z so that G/Z has 2 edge-disjoint spanning trees.

This problem is a variation of the so called source location problem, where G/Z has to satisfy connectivity requirements. (See [1] and [6].)

If $l = 3$, then $r(E) = 2|V| - 3$ if and only if G is generically rigid in the plane (Laman's theorem [7], for basic concepts of rigidity see e.g. [5]). So in this case the problem is the following.

Add a minimum size clique to a graph so as to make it generically rigid in the plane.

It can be shown that this problem is equivalent to finding a minimum size vertex set so that pinning down that vertex-set makes the graph generically infinitesimally rigid. The non-generic version of this problem was solved in [8]. (About pinning see also [12, Section 8.2 and 18.2].)

The main result is that these problems are polynomial time solvable (Theorem 2.2). In fact it is proved that a slight generalization of these problems are solvable, namely we can prescribe a vertex-set T that has to be contained in Z .

2 Main result

The main content of Lemma 2.1 is that we give an equivalent formulation of our problem in the case when E is independent in $\mathcal{M}_{2,l}$. Using Lemma 2.1 we are able to reduce our problem to a matching problem.

Lemma 2.1. *Let E be independent in $\mathcal{M}_{2,l}$ and $|E| < 2|V| - l$, and let $Z \subseteq V$.*

(i) If $|V - Z| \geq 2$, then

$$r(E + K_{V-Z}) = \min_{X \subseteq Z} 2|V - X| - l + e(X)$$

(ii) $r(E + K_{V-Z}) = 2|V| - l$ if and only if $e(X) \geq 2|X|$ holds for every $X \subseteq Z$.

Proof. (i) Let $E' := E + K_{V-Z}$. For a set system $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_t\}$, $X_i \subseteq V$, $|X_i| \geq 2$ let $v(\mathcal{X}) := \sum_{X \in \mathcal{X}} (2|X| - l) + |E' - E'(\mathcal{X})|$. By Claim 1.1, $r(E') = \min_{\mathcal{X}} v(\mathcal{X})$. It is enough to prove that the minimum is attained on a one element set system $\mathcal{X} = \{X\}$ where $Z - V \subseteq X \subseteq V$ because $v(\{X\}) = 2|X| - l + e(V - X)$, thus by complementing X we get (i).

Let the set system \mathcal{X} lexicographically minimize the vector $(v(\mathcal{X}), |E' - E'(\mathcal{X})|, |\mathcal{X}|)$. Then the following holds.

$$|X \cap Y| \leq 1 \text{ for } X \neq Y \in \mathcal{X} \quad (1)$$

$$\nexists X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X} : |X \cap Y| = |X \cap Z| = |Y \cap Z| = 1 \text{ and } X \cap Y \cap Z = \emptyset \quad (2)$$

$$\text{for } u \neq v \in V - Z \text{ there exists a unique } X_{uv} \in \mathcal{X} : u, v \in X_{uv} \quad (3)$$

(1) is true because $2|X| - l + 2|Y| - l = 2|X \cup Y| - l + 2|X \cap Y| - l > 2|X \cup Y| - l$ if $|X \cap Y| \geq 2$, so $\mathcal{X} - X - Y + X \cup Y$ would contradict the minimality of $v(\mathcal{X})$. We prove (2) indirectly, suppose there exists such a configuration then $2|X| - l + 2|Y| - l + 2|Z| - l = 2(|X \cup Y \cup Z| + 3) - 3l \geq 2|X \cup Y \cup Z| - l$. Thus by replacing X, Y, Z with $X \cup Y \cup Z$ we lexicographically decrease $(v(\mathcal{X}), |E' - E'(\mathcal{X})|, |\mathcal{X}|)$, a contradiction. To prove (3) suppose that $u \neq v \in V - Z$ and there does not exist an X containing u, v . Then adding set $\{u, v\}$ lexicographically decrease $(v(\mathcal{X}), |E' - E'(\mathcal{X})|, |\mathcal{X}|)$. The unicity of such a set follows by (1).

We prove that if $u, v, w \in V - Z$, then $X_{uv} = X_{vw}$. Indirectly suppose not. Then by (1) $X_{uv} \cap X_{vw} = \{v\}$, but then $X_{uv} \cap X_{uw} = \{u\}$ and $X_{vw} \cap X_{uw} = \{w\}$. This contradicts (2).

This implies that $X_{uv} = X_{u'v'}$ for every $u, v, u', v' \in V - Z$, that is, there exists a unique $X \in \mathcal{X}$ containing $V - Z$, and $|X \cap Y| \leq 1$ for every $Y \in \mathcal{X} - X$. It is easy to see that $v(\mathcal{X}) \geq v(\{X\})$ because $i_{E'}(X') = i_E(X') \leq 2|X'| - l$ for every $X' \in \mathcal{X} - X$. Therefore the one element set system $\{X\}$ satisfies that $r(E') = v(\{X\})$.

(ii) If $|V - Z| \geq 2$, then (i) implies (ii). If $|V - Z| \leq 1$, then $r(E + K_{V-Z}) = r(E) = |E| < 2|V| - l$ and $e(Z) = |E| < 2|V| - l \leq 2|Z|$. \square

We call a set $Z \subseteq V$ a *good subset* if $e(X) \geq 2|X|$ holds for every $X \subseteq Z$. By Lemma 2.1 (ii) if E is independent in $\mathcal{M}_{2,l}$, then the problem of finding a minimum cardinality subset Y such that $r(E + K_Y) = 2|V| - l$ is equivalent to finding a maximum size good set Z .

Theorem 2.2. *We are given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a set $T \subseteq V$. Then there exists an $O((|E| + |V|)^{3/2})$ running time algorithm to find a maximum cardinality good set Z not intersecting T , and there exists an $O(|V|^2)$ running time algorithm to find a minimum cardinality set Z containing T so that $r(E + K_Z) = 2|V| - l$.*

Proof. First we state that in the second problem we can assume that E is independent in $\mathcal{M}_{2,l}$ because if E' is a base of E in matroid $\mathcal{M}_{2,l}$, then $r(E + K_Z) = r(E' + K_Z)$ holds for all $Z \subseteq V$. Finding a base of an edge-set in $\mathcal{M}_{2,l}$ can be done in $O(|V|^2)$ time (if $l = 2$, then see [13, Section 51.5a], if $l = 3$, then see e.g. [3] and [2] for other references).

By Lemma 2.1 (ii) the two optimization problems are equivalent. We prove that finding a maximum size good set can be reduced to finding a maximum matching.

Let us define the following bipartite graph B . Let \tilde{V} denote a set which contains two nodes v', v'' for each $v \in V - T$. For a set $X \subseteq V - T$ let \tilde{X} will denote the set $\{v' : v \in X\} \cup \{v'' : v \in X\}$. The two color classes of B will be \tilde{V} and E . The edge-set is $F := \{(ev') : v \in V - T \text{ is an endpoint of } e\} \cup \{(ev'') : v \in V - T \text{ is an endpoint of } e\}$. By definition it follows that $Z \subseteq V - T$ is good if and only if $|\Gamma(\tilde{X})| \geq |\tilde{X}|$ for each $X \subseteq Z$, and it is easy to see that this is equivalent to the following: $|\Gamma(X)| \geq |X|$ for each $X \subseteq \tilde{Z}$. By Hall's theorem (see e.g. [13, Theorem 16.6]) Z is good if and only if there is a matching $M \subseteq F$ covering \tilde{Z} .

We construct graph $G' = (V', E')$ such that $V' := \tilde{V} \cup E$ and $E' := F \cup \{v'v'' : v \in V - T\}$. Let M be a maximum matching in G' and Z a maximum cardinality good set in G . We claim that $|M| = |V - T| + |Z|$. If we have a good set Z' and $M_{Z'} \subseteq F$ is a matching covering Z' , then $M_{Z'} \cup \{v'v'' : v \in V - T - Z'\}$ is a matching of cardinality $2|Z'| + |V - T| - |Z'| = |V - T| + |Z'|$. If M' is a maximal matching, then $Z := \{v \in V - T : v' \text{ and } v'' \text{ is covered by } M' \cap F\}$ is good set of cardinality $|M'| - |V - T|$.

We can apply an algorithm finding a maximum matching in G' to find a maximum size good set in G . This matching can be found in $O(\sqrt{|V'|}|E'|)$ time (for literature see [13, Section 24.4a]). By the definition of G' : $|V'| \leq 2|V| + |E|$ and $|E'| \leq 4|E| + |V|$, hence $O(\sqrt{|V'|}|E'|) = O((|E| + |V|)^{3/2})$. Using the fact that $|E| \leq 2|V|$ if E is independent in $\mathcal{M}_{2,l}$ we get an $O(|V|^{3/2})$ running time algorithm for independent E . So the total running time of the algorithm to find a minimum cardinality Z set containing T so that $r(E + K_Z) = 2|X| - l$ is $O(|V|^2)$. \square

We use the above reduction and the Berge-Tutte formula (see e.g. [13, Theorem 24.1]) to deduce a minimax theorem on the maximum size of a good set.

Theorem 2.3. *If we are given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a set $T \subseteq V$, then the following equality holds:*

$$\max_{Z \text{ is good, } Z \cap T = \emptyset} |Z| = \min_{Y: T \subseteq Y \subseteq V} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G-Y)} \left\lfloor \frac{e(C)}{2} \right\rfloor + |Y - T| \quad (4)$$

Proof. $\max \leq \min$: If $Z \subseteq V - T$ is good and $T \subseteq Y \subseteq V$, then $|Z| \leq \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G-Y)} |Z \cap C| + |Y - T| \leq \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G-Y)} \left\lfloor \frac{e(C)}{2} \right\rfloor + |Y - T|$.

$\max \geq \min$: Using the notations and results of the previous proof, it is enough to prove that the size of a maximum matching of G' is at least

$$\min_{Y: T \subseteq Y \subseteq V} \left(\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G-Y)} \left\lfloor \frac{e(C)}{2} \right\rfloor + |Y| \right) + |V| - 2|T|.$$

Let $w(X) := \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G'-X)} \left\lfloor \frac{|C|}{2} \right\rfloor + |X|$ ($X \subseteq V'$). The Berge-Tutte formula implies that the cardinality of a maximum matching is equal to $\min_{X \subseteq V'} w(X)$. Suppose that $v' \in C \in \mathcal{C}(G' - X)$ and $v'' \in X$ where $v \in V - T$. If $|C|$ is even, then $w(X + v') \leq w(X)$. If $|C|$ is odd, then $w(X - v'') \leq w(X)$. Thus we can assume that

$X \cap \tilde{V}$ is the union of v', v'' pairs. If an edge $e \in X \cap E$, then $w(X - e) \leq w(X)$. Thus there exists an optimal X such that $X = \tilde{U}$ for some $U \subseteq V - T$. Now the equality $w(X) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G'-X)} \left\lfloor \frac{|C|}{2} \right\rfloor + |X| = |V - T - U| + \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G-U \cup T)} \left\lfloor \frac{e(C)}{2} \right\rfloor + 2|U|$ implies that in (4) equality holds for $Y = U \cup T$. \square

We present a short proof for the NP-completeness of the source location problem concerning 3 edge-disjoint trees and the 3 dimensional pinning problem. ((ii) was already proved in [10].)

Theorem 2.4. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph.*

(i) *The problem of finding a minimum size $Z \subseteq V$ such that G/Z has 3 edge-disjoint spanning trees is NP-complete.*

(ii) *The problem of finding a minimum size $Z \subseteq V$ such that $G + K_Z$ is rigid in 3 dimensions is NP-complete.*

Proof. (i) This problem is clearly in NP. The problem of finding a maximum stable set in a 3-regular graph is NP-complete, see [4]. It is easy to see that if G is 3-regular, then G/Z has 3 edge-disjoint spanning trees if and only if $V - Z$ is stable.

(ii) This problem is in NP because 3 dimensional rigidity is known to be in NP. It is easy to see that if G is 3-regular, then $G + K_Z$ is rigid in 3 dimensions if and only if $V - Z$ is stable. \square

We mention that the problem of finding a minimum cardinality set Z so that $r(E + K_Z) = 2|V| - l$ is equivalent to a special matroid parity problem. If $r_0(Z) := r(E + K_{V-Z}) - r(K_{V-Z})$ ($Z \subseteq V$), then r_0 is a 2-polymatroid function on V because the submodularity can be deduced from the submodularity of r and $r_0(V - Z) = r(E + K_Z) - r(K_Z) \leq r(K_V) - r(K_Z) \leq 2|V - Z|$. By investigating the latter inequality it can be checked that if $r(E) < 2|V| - l$, then $r_0(V - Z) = 2|V - Z|$ holds if and only if $r(E + K_Z) = 2|V| - l$. Hence the question of finding a minimum cardinality Z so that $r(E + K_Z) = 2|V| - l$ is equivalent to finding a maximum cardinality W so that $r_0(W) = 2|W|$. Now we prove that a simple minimax formula for this matroid parity problem is a consequence of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2.5. *If we are given a graph $G = (V, E)$, then*

$$\max_{W \subseteq V - T : r_0(W) = 2|W|} |W| = \min_{\mathcal{F} \text{ is a partition of } V - T} \sum_{X \in \mathcal{F}} \left\lfloor \frac{r_o(X)}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Proof. The $\min \geq \max$ direction is true for every 2-polymatroid function. To prove $\min \leq \max$ we observe that it is enough to prove the formula if E is independent in matroid $\mathcal{M}_{2,l}$ (we can choose a base). We show a sub-partition where the left hand side is greater or equal than the right hand side. Let \mathcal{F}' be a sub-partition of $V - T$ formed by the components of $G - Y$ where Y is an optimal set in (4) and let $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}' \cup \{\{y\} : y \in Y - T\}$. The following inequality proves the theorem.

$$\sum_{X \in \mathcal{F}} \left\lfloor \frac{r_o(X)}{2} \right\rfloor \leq \sum_{X \in \mathcal{F}'} \left\lfloor \frac{e(X)}{2} \right\rfloor + |Y - T| = \max_{Z \text{ is good, } Z \cap T = \emptyset} |Z| =$$

$$= \max_{W \subseteq V - T: r_0(W) = 2|W|} |W|$$

□

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to thank Tibor Jordán for useful discussions and for the proof of Theorem 2.4.

References

- [1] M. Bárász, J. Becker, and A. Frank. An algorithm for source location in directed graphs. *Oper. Res. Lett.*, 33(3):221–230, 2005.
- [2] A. R. Berg and T. Jordán. Algorithms for graph rigidity and scene analysis. In *Algorithms—ESA 2003*, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., pages 78–89. Springer, Berlin, 2003.
- [3] H. N. Gabow and H. H. Westermann. Forests, frames, and games: algorithms for matroid sums and applications. *Algorithmica*, 7(5-6):465–497, 1992.
- [4] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson. *Computers and intractability*. W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, Calif., 1979.
- [5] J. Graver, B. Servatius, and H. Servatius. *Combinatorial rigidity*. American Mathematical Society, 1993.
- [6] H. Ito, K. Makino, K. Arata, S. Honami, Y. Itatsu, and S. Fujishige. Source location problem with flow requirements in directed networks. *Optim. Methods Softw.*, 18(4):427–435, 2003.
- [7] G. Laman. On graphs and rigidity of plane skeletal structures. *J. Engrg. Math.*, 4:331–340, 1970.
- [8] L. Lovász. Matroid matching and some applications. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 28(2):208–236, 1980.
- [9] L. Lovász and Y. Yemini. On generic rigidity in the plane. *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods*, 3(1):91–98, 1982.
- [10] A. Mansfield. On the computational complexity of a rigidity problem. *IMA J. Appl. Math.*, 27(4):423–429, 1981.
- [11] C. S. J. A. Nash-Williams. Edge-disjoint spanning trees of finite graphs. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 36:445–450, 1961.

-
- [12] A. Recski. *Matroid theory and its applications in electric network theory and in statics*, volume 6 of *Algorithms and Combinatorics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [13] A. Schrijver. *Combinatorial optimization. Polyhedra and efficiency.*, volume 24 of *Algorithms and Combinatorics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [14] W. Whiteley. Some matroids from discrete applied geometry. In *Matroid theory (Seattle, WA, 1995)*, volume 197 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 171–311. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.