

NOTE

**A NOTE ON  $k$ -STRONGLY CONNECTED ORIENTATIONS OF AN UNDIRECTED GRAPH**

András FRANK

*Research Institute for Telecommunication, II, Gábor Áron u. 65, Budapest, Hungary, 1026*

Received 24 November 1980

Each  $k$ -strongly connected orientation of an undirected graph can be obtained from any other  $k$ -strongly connected orientation by reversing consecutively directed paths or circuits without destroying the  $k$ -strong connectivity.

A directed graph is called  *$k$ -strongly connected* if the indegree  $\rho(X)$ , the number of edges with head in  $X$  but tail not, is at least  $k$  for each nonempty proper subset  $X$  of vertices. Since Nash-Williams' work [2] we know the necessary and sufficient condition for an undirected graph to have a  $k$ -strongly connected orientation, namely, it must not contain cuts consisting of less than  $2k$  edges. For generalizations, see [1]. In this note we prove that different  $k$ -strongly connected orientations of an undirected graph are connected in a certain sense.

**Theorem.** *If  $G'$  and  $G''$  are two  $k$ -strongly connected orientations of an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , then there is a sequence  $G' = G_0, G_1, \dots, G_k = G''$  of  $k$ -strongly connected orientations of  $G$  such that each  $G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) arises from  $G_{i-1}$  by reversing one directed circuit or path.*

**Proof.** Let  $\rho'$  and  $\rho''$  denote the indegree functions of  $G'$  and  $G''$ , respectively.

**Case 1.** For each vertex  $v$ ,  $\rho'(v) = \rho''(v)$ . (We write  $\rho(v)$  instead of  $\rho(\{v\}$ .) Call red those edges of  $G'$  which are oppositely directed in  $G''$ . The set of red edges forms a directed graph in which the indegree and outdegree coincide at each vertex. Such a graph is the union of edge disjoint directed circuits. Reversing the orientations of these circuits in any order we obtain  $G''$  while the indegree function, and so the  $k$ -strong connectivity, is unchanged.

**Case 2.** For a vertex  $v$ ,  $\rho'(v) < \rho''(v)$ .

**Subcase 2.1.** There is a vertex  $u$  with  $\rho'(u) > \rho''(u)$  and  $\rho'(X) > k$  whenever  $u \in X$  and  $u \notin X$ .

Then there is a directed path from  $v$  to  $u$  in  $G'$ . Reversing its edges we have a new  $k$ -strongly connected orientation of  $G$  whose indegrees at the vertices are

nearer to that of  $G''$  than the indegrees of  $G'$ . Repeating this procedure, eventually either Case 1 or Subcase 2.2 will occur.

**Subcase 2.2.** Each vertex  $u$ , for which  $\rho'(u) > \rho''(u)$ , is contained in a set of indegree  $k$  in  $G'$  which does not contain  $v$ . Let us consider the maximal sets  $V_i$  for which  $\rho'(V_i) = k$  and  $v \notin V_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ . Set  $V_0 = V - \cup V_i$ .

Now  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  are disjoint ( $1 \leq i < j \leq t$ ). For otherwise

$$k + k = \rho'(V_i) + \rho'(V_j) \geq \rho'(V_i \cup V_j) + \rho'(V_i \cap V_j) \geq k + k$$

whence  $\rho'(V_i \cup V_j) = k$ , contradicting the maximality of  $V_i$  and  $V_j$ .

Both in  $G'$  and  $G''$  let us count the number of edges having at most one endvertex in  $V_i$  ( $i > 0$ ). These numbers  $c'$  and  $c''$  are, of course, equal. However the next inequality shows that  $c' < c''$ :

$$c' = kt + \sum (\rho'(z): z \in V_0) < kt + \sum (\rho''(z): z \in V_0) \leq c''$$

(We exploited that  $\rho'(z) \leq \rho''(z)$  for  $z \in V_0$  and  $\rho'(v) < \rho''(v)$ .) By this contradiction Subcase 2.1 is impossible.

**References**

[1] A. Frank, On the orientations of graphs, *J. Combin. Theory (B)* 28 (3) (June 1980) 251-261.  
 [2] C.St.J.A. Nash-Williams, Well-balanced orientations of finite graphs and unobstructive odd-vertex pairings, in: *Recent Progress in Combinatorics* (Academic Press, New York, 1969) 133.