

DOUBLE AND TRIPLE SUMS MODULO A PRIME

KATALIN GYARMATI, SERGEI KONYAGIN, AND IMRE Z. RUZSA

ABSTRACT. We study the connection between the sizes of $2A$ and $3A$ (twofold and threefold sums), where A is a set of residues modulo a prime p .

1. INTRODUCTION

Lev [3] observed that for a set A of integers the quantity

$$\frac{|kA| - 1}{k}$$

is increasing. The first cases of this result assert that

$$(1.1) \quad |2A| \geq 2|A| - 1$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad |3A| \geq \frac{3}{2}|2A| - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Inequality (1.1) can be extended to different summands as

$$(1.3) \quad |A + B| \geq |A| + |B| - 1,$$

and this inequality can be extended to sets of residues modulo a prime p , the only obstruction being that a cardinality cannot exceed p :

$$(1.4) \quad |A + B| \geq \min(|A| + |B| - 1, p);$$

this familiar result is known as the Cauchy-Davenport inequality.

In this paper we deal with the possibility of extending inequality (1.2) to residues. We also have the obstruction at p , and the third author initially hoped that this is the only one, so an inequality like

$$|3A| \geq \min\left(\frac{3}{2}|2A| - \frac{1}{2}, p\right)$$

may hold; in particular, this would imply $3A = \mathbb{Z}_p$ for $|2A| > 2p/3$. M. Garaev asked (personal communication) whether this holds at least under the stronger assumption $|2A| > cp$ with some absolute constant $c < 1$. It turned out that the answer even to this question is negative, and the relationship between the sizes of $2A$ and $3A$ is seemingly complicated.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B50, 11B75, 11P70.

Supported by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (OTKA), Grants No. T 43631, T 43623, T 49693.

Supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, Grant 05-01-00066, and by Grant NSH-5813.2006.1.

Supported by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (OTKA), Grants No. T 43623, T 42750, K 61908.

Theorem 1.1. *Let p be a prime.*

(a) *For every $m < \sqrt{p}/3$ there is a set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $|3A| \leq p - m^2$ and $|2A| \geq p - m(2\sqrt{p} - m + 3) - Cp^{1/4}$. Here C is a positive absolute constant.*

(b) *In particular, there is a set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $3A \neq \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $|2A| \geq p - 2\sqrt{p} - Cp^{1/4}$.*

Our positive results are as follows. (Since the structure of sumsets is trivial when the set has 1 or 2 elements, we assume $|A| \geq 3$.)

Theorem 1.2. *Let $p \geq 29$ be a prime, $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$, $|A| \geq 3$ and write $|2A| = n$, $|3A| = s$.*

(a) *There is a positive absolute constant c such that for $n < cp$ we have*

$$s \geq \frac{3n - 1}{2}.$$

(b) *For $6 \leq n < p/2$ we have $s > \sqrt{2n}$.*

(c) *If $n = (p + 1)/2$, then*

$$s \geq \frac{3n - 1}{2} = \frac{3p + 1}{4}.$$

(d) *For $n \geq (p + 3)/2$ we have*

$$s \geq \frac{n(2p - n)}{p}.$$

(e) *If $n > p - \sqrt{2p} + 2$, then $3A = \mathbb{Z}_p$.*

A drawback of this theorem is the discontinuous nature of the bounds in (a)–(b)–(c). It is possible to modify the argument in the proof of (c) to get a continuously deteriorating bound for n just below $p/2$, but it is hardly worth the trouble. It is unlikely that the actual behaviour of $\min s$ changes in this interval, so it seems safe to conjecture the following.

Conjecture 1.3. *If $n \leq (p + 1)/2$, then $s \geq (3n - 1)/2$.*

To find the smallest value of n provided by Theorem 1.1 for which $s < (3n - 1)/2$ can happen we have to solve a quadratic inequality for m . This gives $m \approx \sqrt{p}/5$ and $n \approx 16p/25$.

Theorem 1.1 and part (d) of Theorem 1.2 describe the quadratic connection between n and s for large values of n . Indeed, (d) can be reformulated as follows: if $s \leq p - m^2$, then $n \leq p - m\sqrt{p}$, thus the difference is the coefficient 1 or 2 of $m\sqrt{p}$. Similarly, the theorems locate the point after which necessarily $3A = \mathbb{Z}_p$ between $p - 2\sqrt{p}$ and $p - \sqrt{2p}$. We do not have a plausible conjecture about the correct coefficient of \sqrt{p} in these results.

2. CONSTRUCTION

We prove Theorem 1.1.

Without loss of generality we may assume that p is large enough.

We will use the integers $0, \dots, p - 1$ to represent the residues modulo p . We will write $[a, b]$ to denote a discrete interval, that is, the set of integers $a \leq i \leq b$.

Take an integer $q \sim \sqrt{p}$, and write $p = qt + r$ with $1 \leq r \leq q - 1$. We will consider sets of the form $A = K \cup L$, where

$$K = [0, k - 1], \quad |K| = k \leq q - 1$$

and

$$L = \{0, q, 2q, \dots, (l-1)q\}, \quad |L| = l \leq t-1.$$

Our parameters will satisfy $k > q/2 + 3$ and $l \geq 2t/3 + 2$. We assume that $t > 6$.

All the sums $x + y$, $x \in K$, $y \in L$ are distinct and hence we have

$$|2A| \geq |K + L| = kl.$$

It would not be difficult to calculate $|2A|$ more exactly, but it would only minimally affect the final result.

The set $3A$ is the union of $3K$, $2K + L$, $K + 2L$ and $3L$. We consider $2K + L$ first. We have $2K = [0, 2k - 2]$. Since $2k - 2 > q$, the sets $2K$, $2K + q$, \dots overlap and we have

$$2K + L = [0, q(l-1) + 2k - 2] = [0, ql + (2k - 2 - q)].$$

So $3A$ contains $[0, ql]$ and we will study in detail the structure in $[ql, p - 1]$.

We have $3K \subset [0, 3q]$, so we do not get any new element (assuming $l \geq 3$).

Now we study $K + 2L$. The set $2L$ contains $0, q, \dots, qt$ and then $q(t+1) - p = q - r, 2q - r, \dots, q(2l-2) - p = q(2l-2-t) - r$. By adding the set K to the second type of elements we get numbers in

$$[0, q(2l-1-t)] \subset [0, ql],$$

so no new elements again. By adding K to iq we stay in $[0, ql]$ as long as $i \leq l-1$, and for $l \leq i \leq t$ we get

$$[ql, ql+k-1] \cup [(l+1)q, (l+1)q+k-1] \cup \dots \cup [(t-1)q, (t-1)q+k-1] \cup [qt, qt+\min(k-1, r)].$$

If $r \leq k-1$, the last of the above intervals covers $[qt, p]$, so we can restrict our attention to $[ql, qt-1]$. If $r > k-1$, then some elements near $p-1$ may not be in $K + 2L$, but as $r \leq k-1$ will typically hold in our choice, we will not try to exploit this possible gain. Note that the final segment of $2K + L$, that is, $[ql, ql + (2k - 2 - q)]$ is contained in the first of the above intervals.

Finally $3L$ consists of elements of the form $iq - jp$, where $0 \leq i \leq 3l-3$ and $0 \leq j \leq 2$. Those with $j = 0$ are already listed above. Those with $j = 2$ are in $[0, ql]$, so no new element. Finally with $j = 1$ we have $iq - p = (i-t)q - r$ with $t+1 \leq i \leq 2t$, and also with $i = 2t+1$ if $r > q/2$. Among these elements the possible new ones are

$$(l+1)q - r, (l+2)q - r, \dots, (t+1)q - r.$$

This gives no new element if

$$(2.1) \quad r \geq q - k + 1.$$

So under this additional assumption the intervals $[iq + k, iq + q - 1]$ are disjoint to $3A$ for $l \leq i \leq t-1$, and this gives

$$|3A| \leq p - (t-l)(q-k).$$

For a given m we will take $l = t - m$, $k = q - m$, hence the bound $|3A| \leq p - m^2$. With this choice we have

$$(2.2) \quad |2A| \geq kl = (q-m)(t-m) = p - m(q+t-m) - r.$$

Now we select q , t and r . Define the integer v by

$$(v-1)^2 < p < v^2,$$

and write $p = v^2 - w$, $0 < w < 2v$. With arbitrary i we have

$$p = (v - i)(v + i) + (i^2 - w).$$

Hence $q = v - i$, $t = v + i$ and $r = i^2 - w$ may be a good choice. We have a lower bound for r given by (2.1), which now reads $r \geq m + 1$, but otherwise the smaller the value of r the better the bound on $2A$ in (2.2), so we take

$$i = 1 + \left\lceil \sqrt{w + m + 1} \right\rceil.$$

Then $r = m + O(\sqrt{w + m + 1}) = m + O(p^{1/4})$. Since $q + t = 2v < 2\sqrt{p} + 2$, (2.2) yields the bound in part (a) of Theorem 1.1.

3. ESTIMATES

Here we prove Theorem 1.2.

We will assume that $0 \in A$ and consequently $A \subset 2A$, since this can be achieved by a translation which does not affect the studied cardinalities.

The proof will be based on certain Plünnecke-type estimates. These will be quoted from [5]; the basic ideas go back to Plünnecke [4].

Proof of (a).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $i < h$ be integers, U, V sets in a commutative group and write $|U| = m$, $|U + iV| = \alpha m$. We have*

$$|hV| \leq \alpha^{h/i} m.$$

This is Corollary 2.4 of [5].

Take a set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $|2A| = n$, $|3A| = s$ and $s < 3n/2$. We apply the above lemma with $i = 1$, $h = 4$, $U = 2A$, $V = A$. We get

$$|4V| < (3/2)^4 |U|.$$

Since $4V = 4A = 2U$, this means that the set $U = 2A$ has a small doubling property, namely $|2U| < (81/16)|U|$, and this permits us to “rectify” it. There are several ways to do this; the most comfortable is the following form, taken from [1], Theorem 1.2, with some change in the notation.

Lemma 3.2. *Let p be a prime and let $U \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ be a set with $|U| = \delta p$ and $\min(|2U|, |U - U|) = \alpha|U|$. Suppose that $\delta \leq (16\alpha)^{-12\alpha^2}$. Then the diameter of U is at most*

$$(3.1) \quad 12\delta^{1/4\alpha^2} \sqrt{\log(1/\delta)p}.$$

The *diameter* in the above lemma is the length of the shortest arithmetical progression containing the set. We apply this lemma for our set $U = 2A$. We fix $\alpha = 81/16$ and select c so that for $\delta \leq c$ the bound in (3.1) be $< p/4$, and it should include the upper bound imposed on δ . (Actually the second requirement is stronger and it gives the value $c = 2^{-39/2^4}$.) This will be the constant c in (a) of the theorem.

The lemma yields that $A \subset 2A \subset \{-kd, -(k-1)d, \dots, -d, 0, d, 2d, \dots, ld\}$ with a suitable d and integers k, l such that $k + l < p/4$. Let

$$A' = \{j : -k \leq j \leq l, jd \in A\}.$$

Then $4A' \subset [-4k, 4l]$, still an interval of length $< p$, hence $|4A| = |4A'|$ and the claim follows from Lev’s result (1.2) on sets of integers. \square

Proof of (b).

Lemma 3.3. *Let $U, V \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$, $|U| \geq 2$, $|V| \geq 2$, $|U| + |V| \leq p - 1$. Then either $|U + V| \geq |U| + |V|$, or U, V are arithmetic progressions with a common difference.*

This is the Cauchy-Davenport inequality with Vosper's description of the extremal pairs incorporated; see e. g. [2].

Lemma 3.4. *If $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $2A$ is an arithmetic progression, then $s \geq \min(p, (3n - 1)/2)$.*

Proof. First, use a dilation to make the difference of the arithmetic progression 1, and then a translation to achieve $0 \in A$; these transformations do not change the size of our sets. In this case $A \subset 2A$, so we can write

$$2A = \{k, k + 1, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, l\}, \quad k \leq 0 \leq l, \quad l - k = n - 1.$$

Let the first and last elements of A (in the list above) be a and b . We have $k \leq a \leq 0 \leq b \leq l$. Furthermore $2A \subset [2a, 2b]$, that is, $n = |2A| \leq 2(b - a) + 1$ and so $b - a \geq (n - 1)/2$. Now $3A$ contains the residue of every integer in the set

$$[k, l] + \{a, b\} = [k + a, l + b],$$

an interval of length $l + b - k - a \geq 3(n - 1)/2$ (to see that it is an interval observe that $l + a \geq k + b$), hence its cardinality is at least the cardinality of this interval or p . \square

Lemma 3.4 allows us to prove slightly stronger results than we would obtain by applying the Cauchy-Davenport inequality directly, the main benefit being that the statements of the results become simpler.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $i < h$ be integers, U, V sets in a commutative group and write $|U| = m$, $|U + iV| = \alpha m$. There is an $X \subset U$, $X \neq \emptyset$ such that*

$$|X + hV| \leq \alpha^{h/i} |X|.$$

This is Theorem 2.3 of [5].

Now we prove part (b). We apply the above lemma with $i = 1$, $h = 2$ for $U = 2A$, $V = A$, so that $\alpha = s/n$. We get that there is a nonempty $X \subset 2A$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad |X + 2A| \leq \alpha^2 |X|.$$

We will now apply Lemma 3.3 to the sets X and $2A$. To check the conditions observe that $|X| + |2A| \leq 2n \leq p - 1$. The condition $|X| \geq 2$ may not hold. If it fails, then (3.2) reduces to $n \leq \alpha^2$ and hence $\alpha \geq \sqrt{2}$. If $2A$ is an arithmetic progression, then we get (b) by Lemma 3.4. If none of these happens, then by Lemma 3.3 we know that $|X + 2A| \geq |X| + n$, and then (3.2) can be rearranged as

$$n \leq (\alpha^2 - 1) |X| \leq (\alpha^2 - 1)n,$$

that is, $\alpha \geq \sqrt{2}$ as claimed. \square

Proof of (e). If $3A \neq \mathbb{Z}_p$, then $|2A| + |A| \leq p$ (by the Cauchy-Davenport inequality, or by an appropriate application of the pigeonhole principle). Write $|A| = m$. We have $n \leq m(m + 1)/2$, hence $m \geq \sqrt{2n} - 1/2$ and the previous inequality implies $n + \sqrt{2n} \leq p + 1/2$. By solving this as a quadratic inequality for \sqrt{n} we obtain

$$n \leq p - \sqrt{2p + 2} + \frac{3}{2} < p - \sqrt{2p} + 2.$$

□

Proof of (c) and (d). We will prove that

$$s \geq \min \left(\frac{3n-1}{2}, \frac{n(2p-n)}{p} \right),$$

which implies both (c) and (d). Indeed, observe that the bound in (c), $(3n-1)/2$, is smaller than the bound $n(2p-n)/p$ in (d) for $n = (p+1)/2$ and it is larger otherwise.

If $s = p$, we are done. If $s = p-1$, then from part (e) we get that $n < p - \sqrt{2p} + 2 < p - \sqrt{p}$ and then $n(2p-n)/p < p-1$, and again we are done. So assume $s \leq p-2$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $i < h$ be positive integers, U, V, W sets in a commutative group and write $|U| = m$, $|(U+iV) \setminus (W+(i-1)V)| \leq \beta m$. There is an $X \subset U$, $X \neq \emptyset$ such that*

$$|(X+hV) \setminus (W+(h-1)V)| \leq \beta^{h/i} |X|.$$

This is Theorem 2.8 of [5].

Lemma 3.7. *Let U, V be sets in a commutative group and write $|U| = m$, $|U+V| \leq \alpha m$. There is an $X \subset U$, $X \neq \emptyset$ such that*

$$|X+2V| \leq \alpha m + (\alpha-1)^2 |X|.$$

Proof. We apply the previous lemma with $i = 1$, $h = 2$, $W = U + v$ with an arbitrary $v \in V$; clearly $\beta = \alpha - 1$. We obtain the existence of an $X \subset U$, $X \neq \emptyset$ such that

$$|(X+2V) \setminus (U+V+v)| \leq (\alpha-1)^2 |X|.$$

The claim follows by observing that $|U+V+v| \leq \alpha m$. □

Consider the set $D = \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus (-3A)$. We have $m = |D| = p - s \geq 2$. The set $D + A$ is disjoint to $-2A$, hence $|D + A| \leq p - n$. We apply the previous lemma with $U = D$, $V = A$ and $\alpha = (p-n)/(p-s)$. We obtain the existence of a nonempty $X \subset D$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad |X+2A| \leq p - n + (\alpha-1)^2 |X|.$$

We have $|X| + |2A| \leq p - s + n \leq p - 1$. By lemma 3.3 either we have

$$(3.4) \quad |X+2A| \geq |X| + |2A|,$$

or $|X| = 1$, or $2A$ is an arithmetic progression. In the last case the claim follows from Lemma 3.4, since $n(2n-p)/p < (3n-1)/2$ for $n > (p+1)/2$.

If (3.4) holds, then (3.3) implies

$$(3.5) \quad 2n - p \leq \alpha(\alpha-2) |X|.$$

Since the left side is positive, so is the right side, that is, necessarily $\alpha \geq 2$, and then using that $|X| \leq |D| = p - s$, (3.5) becomes

$$(3.6) \quad 2n - p \leq \alpha(\alpha-2)(p-s).$$

Substituting $\alpha = (p-n)/(p-s)$ and $\alpha-2 = (2s-n-p)/(p-s)$ this becomes

$$(2n-p)(p-s) \leq (p-n)(2s-n-p)$$

which can be rearranged to give the bound in (d).

If (3.4) fails, then $|X| = 1$ and (3.3) becomes

$$(3.7) \quad 2n - p \leq (\alpha-1)^2.$$

If α is such that $(\alpha - 1)^2 \leq 2\alpha(\alpha - 2)$, then, as $p - s \geq 2$, (3.6) holds again and we complete the proof as before. If this is not the case, then $\alpha < 1 + \sqrt{2}$, and (3.7) yields $2n - p < 2$. Since p is odd, this leaves the only possibility $n = (p + 1)/2$. Now (3.7) becomes $\alpha \geq 2$, that is, $p - n \geq 2(p - s)$,

$$s \geq \frac{p + n}{2} = \frac{3p + 1}{4}$$

as wanted. □

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to a referee for remarks and corrections.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Green and I. Z. Ruzsa, *Sets with small sumsets and rectification*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **38** (2006), 43–52.
- [2] K. F. Halberstam, H.; Roth, *Sequences*, Clarendon, London, 1966, 2nd ed. Springer, 1983.
- [3] V. F. Lev, *Structure theorem for multiple addition and the Frobenius problem*, J. Number Theory **58** (1996), 79–88.
- [4] H. Plünnecke, *Eine zahlentheoretische anwendung der graphtheorie*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **243** (1970), 171–183.
- [5] I. Z. Ruzsa, *Cardinality questions about sumsets*, Montréal school on combinatorial number theory, to appear.

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BUDAPEST, PF. 127, H-1364 HUNGARY
E-mail address: gykati@cs.elte.hu

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, MOSCOW, 119992, RUSSIA
E-mail address: konyagin@ok.ru

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BUDAPEST, PF. 127, H-1364 HUNGARY
E-mail address: ruzsa@renyi.hu